## Reflection Seismics: Applications and Case Histories

- Folds and faults
- High-resolution seismic profiling on land
- Multiples
- Interpretation pitfalls

#### <u>Reading:</u>

- > Reynolds, Section 6.6
- > Telford *et al.*, Section 4.10

# Structural interpretation Folds and faults



### High-Resolution seismics on land Pullan and Hunter (1990)

- Buried rock valley in Dryden, ON;
  - Groundwater at only 1m;
  - Source fired into finely-grained water-saturated sediments
    - > this is ideal for high-red reflection profiling.
  - In-hole shotgun source
  - 100-Hz geophones;
- single- ('optimum') offset of 15m recording
  - so no NMO or stacking required!
  - only gain (AGC) and bandpass filtering (240-800 Hz)



still a *time section*! So, geometry of bedrock walls is not accurate...

## High-Resolution seismics on land Miller et al., (1995)

- Study of achievable vertical spatial resolution
- Similar source and recording system as in the previous example
- Note the difference in resolution due to shooting *in the unsaturated zone*



## Multiples (multiple reflections) Saint Clair River, *McGee, 1990*

- Reflections from the most prominent impedance contrasts:
  - *e.g.*, surface, bedrock, water bottom.
- Usually suppressed by velocity filtering before stacking.



## Importance of velocity analysis and suppression of multiples (an example of a *misinterpretation*)

- These are two images of the same line
  - Low stacking velocities (treating multiples as true reflections) in the *upper plot* result in an erroneous interpretation.



# Attenuation of multiples

Multiples are separable from their primary reflections in *time-velocity* domain

- Using NMO;
- Using velocity ('*f*-*k*' or ' $\tau$ -*p*') filtering.



# The use of multiples (McGee, 1990)

Strong multipes may indicate areas of anomalous reflectivity



# Interpretation pitfalls

- CMP Reflection processing results in *time* sections that have to be converted into *depth* 
  - Knowledge of overburden velocity is critical.

